bit the same configuration: that of the *trans* diastereomer.

The torsion angles for the sequences corresponding to C(10)—C(11)—C(15)—N(5) are 20.7, 32.9, 61.8 and 70.4°, respectively, indicating the angular rotation between the six- and five-membered rings of the four ligands. The relationship between ligand configuration and formation of complexes of this type would be an interesting topic for study.

The author wishes to thank Dr Nina Albanese-Kotar, who prepared the complex and grew the crystals; Professor Alan H. Cowley, who arranged for the data collection; and Dr Graheme J. B. Williams and Dr Ashfaq Syed for reduction of the data. He wishes to acknowledge receipt of a grant from the University of New Hampshire Research Office that made possible the use of the University Computer Center.

References

AHMED, F. R. (1973). NRC Crystallographic Programs. National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

BABAK, S. F. & KAGRAMANOVA, G. B. (1954). Zh. Obshch. Khim. 24, 1690–1693.

BERTRAND, J. A. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 495-498.

- BERTRAND, J. A. & KELLEY, J. A. (1966). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 4746–4747.
- BERTRAND, J. A. & KELLEY, J. A. (1969). Inorg. Chem. 8, 1982–1985.
- BEURSKENS, P. T., BOSMAN, W. P., DOESBURG, H. M., GOULD, R. O., VAN DEN HARK, TH. E. M., PRICK, P. A. J., NOORDIK, K. H., BEURSKENS, G., PARTHASARATHI, V., BRUINS SLOT, H. J., HALTIWANGER, R. C., STRUMPEL, M. & SMITS, J. M. M. (1985). *DIRDIF.* Tech. Report: 1984/1. Crystallography Laboratory, Toernooiveld, 6525 ED, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- GABE, E. J., LEE, F. L. & LE PAGE, Y. (1985). The NRCVAX Crystal Structure System. In Crystallographic Computing 3: Data Collection, Structure Determination, Proteins, and Databases, edited by G. M. SHELDRICK, C. KRÜGER & R. GODDARD, pp. 167-174. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- GILL, N. S. & STERNS, M. (1970). Inorg. Chem. 9, 1619-1625.
- HAENDLER, H. M. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1691-1694.
- HOLMES, R. R. (1984). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 32, 119-235.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- KILBOURN, B. T. & DUNITZ, J. D. (1967). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1, 209–216.
- NORMAN, R. E., ROSE, N. J. & STENKAMP, R. E. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 1707–1713.
- PRASAD, S. & SHARMA, P. D. (1958). J. Proc. Inst. Chemists (India), 30, 254–258.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 2057-2062

Mononuclear and Binuclear Products from Bis(acetylacetonato)zinc and 1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (Dabco)

BY FRANK R. FRONCZEK, MICHAEL L. IVIE AND ANDREW W. MAVERICK*

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, USA

(Received 7 July 1989; accepted 13 February 1990)

Abstract. The structures of two products formed from $Zn(acac)_2$. H₂O (acacH = 2.4-pentanedione) and Dabco (1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane) are reported. In CH₂Cl₂, Dabco is chloroalkylated: trans-bis(1chloromethyl-4-aza-1-azoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane-N4)bis(2,4-pentanedionato)zinc dichloride, [Zn(Dabco- $CH_2Cl_2(acac)_2Cl_2$, (I), $C_{24}H_{42}Cl_4N_4O_4Zn$, $M_r =$ 657.82, monoclinic, C2/m, a = 20.724 (3), b =11.405 (2), c = 6.595 (1) Å, $\beta = 104.78$ (1)°, V =1507·3 (9) Å³, $D_{\rm r} = 1.449,$ $D_m =$ Z = 2. 1.44 (2) Mg m⁻³. λ (Mo K α) = 0.71073 Å, $\mu =$ 1.23 mm^{-1} , F(000) = 688, T = 293 K, R = 0.039 for1619 data with $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$ (R = 0.100 for 2722 data with $F_o^2 > 0$). The complex cation lies upon a 2/m site and consists of two N(CH₂CH₂)₃NCH₂Cl⁺

0108-2701/90/112057-06\$03.00

 $(DabcoCH_2Cl^+)$ and two acac⁻ ligands in a *trans* arrangement about Zn. The Zn-O and Zn-N distances are 2.042 (2) and 2.400 (2) Å respectively. The cationic DabcoCH₂Cl⁺ ligands in (I) appear to be produced by nucleophilic attack of Dabco on CH₂Cl₂. The reaction in benzene is more straightforward: μ -(1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane- N^1 , N^4)bis[bis(2,4-pentanedionato)zinc] benzene solvate $[{Zn(acac)_2}_2(\mu-Dabco)].C_6H_6, (II), C_{32}H_{46}N_2O_8Zn_2,$ $M_r = 717.50$, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, a = 10.409 (2), b =11.327 (1), c = 7.6915 (6) Å, $\alpha = 94.072$ (8), $\beta =$ 99.830 (9), $\gamma = 102.83$ (1)°, V = 865.6 (4) Å³, Z = 1, $D_x = 1.376$, $D_m = 1.39$ (2) Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = $0.71073 \text{ Å}, \mu = 1.466 \text{ mm}^{-1}, F(000) = 376, T =$ 295 K, R = 0.034 for 3141 data with $F_o^2 > 3\sigma(F_o^2)$ (R = 0.087 for 5028 data with $F_o^2 > 0$). In the centrosymmetric [$\{Zn(acac)_2\}_2(\mu$ -Dabco)] units in (II)

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[Zn···Zn 6·8489 (3) Å], each metal atom is in a square-pyramidal environment, with a Dabco N atom at the apex; the Dabco moiety is disordered about the center of symmetry, and the two Zn(acac)₂ moieties are eclipsed. Zn—O distances range from $2\cdot004$ (2) to $2\cdot030$ (2) Å, and the Zn—N distance is $2\cdot127$ (2) Å.

Introduction. The cofacial binuclear complex Cu₂(NBA)^{*} (see scheme) recognizes and binds small guest molecules G (Maverick, Ivie, Waggenspack & Fronczek, 1990; Maverick, Buckingham, Yao, Bradbury & Stanley, 1986). We are currently preparing zinc analogs of these complexes as models for the active site of E. coli alkaline phosphatase (Coleman & Gettins, 1983). Because the β -diketone complexes of Zn have been less extensively studied than those of Cu, we first studied the reactions of $Zn(acac)_2$ (acacH = 2, 4-pentanedione)with Dabco (1, 4diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane). The structures of two of the products are reported herein. The first of these compounds is also of interest because it shows that Dabco is chloroalkylated by CH₂Cl₂, which is ordinarily considered an unreactive solvent.



Experimental. Both compounds were prepared by reaction of bis(acetylacetonato)zinc hydrate (Lippert & Truter, 1960) with Dabco in 2:1 molar ratio at room temperature. When the reagents were mixed in CH₂Cl₂ and the solution was allowed to evaporate. feathery polycrystalline material was produced, along with a small quantity of colorless prisms which were suitable for X-ray analysis. ¹H NMR spectra suggested that the feathery and prismatic crystals $[Zn(acac)_2](Dabco)$ and $[{Zn(acac)_2}_2(\mu$ were Dabco)] respectively. However, the structure determination shows that the prismatic crystals are actually trans-bis(1-chloromethyl-4-aza-1-azoniabi $cyclo[2.2.2]octane-N^4)bis(2,4-pentanedionato)zinc$ dichloride, [Zn(DabcoCH2Cl)2(acac)2]Cl2 [(I); see scheme of cation). The major product in benzene solution, on the other hand, is $[{Zn(acac)_2}_2(\mu -$

Dabco)]. C_6H_6 [(II): see scheme]. Colorless plateshaped crystals of (II) suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by layering a solution of the compound in benzene with hexanes.



Crystals were placed in random orientations in glass capillaries, which were mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer fitted with Mo $K\alpha$ source and graphite monochromator. Cell dimensions were determined by a least-squares fit to setting angles of 25 reflections having $10 < \theta < 18^{\circ}$. $\omega - 2\theta$ scans were made at speeds ranging from 0.45 to 4.0° min⁻¹ to measure all significant data with approximately equal relative precision. Data reduction included corrections for background and Lorentz and polarization effects. Absorption corrections were based on ψ scans. For (II), the standard reflections increased in intensity by ca 1.8% during data collection, and a linear correction was applied. No such correction was necessary for (I). Additional data collection and refinement parameters are given in Table 1.

The space group for (I) was determined by systematic absences (hkl, h + k odd), and by successful refinement of a centrosymmetric model. The space group for (II) was determined by successful refinement of a centrosymmetric model. Initial solution was by Patterson and direct methods. Refinement was by full-matrix least squares based upon F, with weights $w = 4F_o^2[\sigma^2(I) + (0.02F_o^2)^2]^{-1}$, using the Enraf-Nonius SDP/VAX Structure Determination Package (Frenz, 1985), scattering factors of Cromer & Waber (1974) and anomalous coefficients of Cromer (1974). Non-H atoms were refined anisotropically: H atoms were located by ΔF synthesis and refined isotropically, except for the Dabco H atoms in (II). These were placed in calculated positions, with fixed isotropic displacement parameters; they, and the attached C atoms, were assigned populations of $\frac{1}{2}$ to model the disorder about the center of symmetry. An extinction coefficient $g = 2.6(7) \times 10^{-10}$ 10^{-7} was refined for (II), where the correction factor

^{*} NBAH₂ = 2,7-naphthalenediylbis(methylene)bis(acetylacetone), or 3,3'-[2,7-naphthalenediylbis(methylene)]bis(2,4-pentanedione).

Table 1. Data collection and refinement parameters

Table 3. Bond distances (Å) and bond angles (°)

See Figs. 1 and 2 for explanations of the symbols ', " and *.

	[Zn(Dabc	$OCH_2Cl)_2(acac)_2]Cl_2$ (I)	$[{Zn(acac)_2}_2(\mu-Dabco)].C_6H_6$ (II)
Crystal size (m	m)	$0.18 \times 0.25 \times 0.55$	$0.13 \times 0.42 \times 0.47$
Transmission r	ange	0.9680-0.9991	0.7209-0.9998
T (K)	-	293	295
θ range (°)		1-32	1-30
Reflections mea	asured	$-30 \le h \le 30$	$-14 \le h \le 14$
		$0 \le k \le 17$	$-15 \le k \le 15$
		0≤/≤9	$0 \le l \le 10$
R _{int}		0.027	_
Standard reflec	tions	400, 040, 001	200, 020, 002
Unique reflection	ons	2722 [1619, $l > 3\sigma(l)$]	5028 [3141, $I > 3\sigma(I)$]
Parameters refi	ned	139	295
R (observed da	ta)	0.039	0.034
R (all data)		0.100	0.087
wR		0.040	0.037
S		1.787	1.596
$\Delta \sigma_{\rm max}$		0.01	0.05
Max., min. $\Delta \rho$	(e Å ⁻³)	0.61, -0.58	0.22, -0.22
Extinction		_	$2.6(7) \times 10^{-7}$

Table	2.	Coordinates	and	equivalent	isotropic
displacement parameters					

	x	V	Z	$U_{co}(\text{\AA}^2)^*$
[Zn(Dat	ocoCH ₂ Cl) ₂ (acac) ₂]Cl ₂ (I)		
Zn	0	0	0	0.0341 (1)
01	-0.03614 (7)	-0.1274(1)	0.1588 (2)	0.0363 (4)
NI	0.1028 (1)	0	0.2689 (3)	0.0310 (6)
N2	0.2128(1)	0	0.5593 (4)	0.0327 (6)
Cl	0	-0.2921(3)	0	0.0457 (9)
C2	-0.0330(1)	- 0.2369 (2)	0.1332 (3)	0.0399 (6)
C3	-0.0700(1)	-0.3116(2)	0.2553 (4)	0.0602 (8)
C4	0 0895 (2)	0	0.4751 (5)	0.053 (1)
C5	0.1535 (2)	0	0.6531 (4)	0.0456 (9)
C6	0.1426 (1)	-0.1042 (3)	0.2540 (4)	0.0603 (8)
C7	0.2082 (1)	-0.1075 (2)	0.4282 (4)	0.0558 (7)
C8	0.2744 (2)	0	0.7374 (5)	0.047 (1)
CII	4	4	0	0.0531 (2)
C12	0.34765 (5)	0	0.6503 (2)	0.1062 (6)
[{Zn(aca	$ac)_2$ (μ -Dabco)].	C ₆ H ₆ (II)		
Zn	0.78006 (2)	0.57665 (2)	0.82986 (3)	0.04965 (6)
01	0.7180 (2)	0.6816 (2)	1.0082 (2)	0.0619 (5)
O2	0.8735 (2)	0.7310 (2)	0.7423 (2)	0.0638 (5)
O3	0.7519 (2)	0.4457 (2)	0.9911 (2)	0.0591 (5)
O4	0.8975 (1)	0.4899 (2)	0.7134 (2)	0.0578 (4)
N	0.6054 (2)	0.5300 (2)	0.6261 (2)	0.0458 (5)
CI	0.6791 (3)	0.8501 (3)	1·1670 (4)	0.103 (1)
C2	0.7399 (3)	0.7960 (2)	1.0267 (3)	0.0636 (7)
C3	0.8132 (3)	0.8738 (2)	0.9280 (4)	0.0711 (8)
C4	0.8723 (2)	0.8389 (2)	0.7916 (3)	0.0603 (7)
C5	0.9396 (3)	0.9348 (3)	0.6887 (4)	0.087 (1)
C6	0.7784 (3)	0-2776 (3)	l·1444 (4)	0.0826 (9)
C7	0.8074 (2)	0.3566 (2)	0.9999 (3)	0.0527 (6)
C8	0.8920 (2)	0.3283 (2)	0.8906 (3)	0.0545 (6)
C9	0.9322 (2)	0.3943 (2)	0.7551 (3)	0.0486 (6)
C10	1.0250 (3)	0.3504 (3)	0.6506 (3)	0.0721 (8)
CLD	0.5091 (5)	0.4141 (5)	0.6517 (7)	0.079 (2)
C2D	0.5250 (5)	0.6216 (7)	0.6164 (7)	0.081 (2)
C3D	0.6364 (5)	0.5080 (5)	0.4488 (8)	0.083 (2)
C4D	0.3863 (6)	0.3778 (5)	0.5009 (7)	0.074 (2)
C5D	0.4013 (5)	0.5856 (5)	0.4666 (8)	0.083 (2)
C6D	0.5129 (5)	0.4715 (7)	0.2990 (7)	0.080 (2)
CIS	0.4934 (4)	0.0529 (4)	0.3472 (5)	0.114(1)
C25	0.5969 (4)	0.0974 (3)	0.4807 (5)	0.105(1)
C35	0.3953 (4)	-0.0459 (4)	0-3653 (5)	0.112(1)

 ${}^{*}U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3}[a^{2}a^{*2}U_{11} + b^{2}b^{*2}U_{22} + c^{2}c^{*2}U_{33} + 2(aba^{*}b^{*}U_{12}\cos\gamma + aca^{*}c^{*}U_{13}\cos\beta + bcb^{*}c^{*}U_{23}\cos\alpha)].$

 ^{+}C atoms labeled D were assigned $\frac{1}{2}$ occupancy.

 $(1 + gI_c)^{-1}$ was applied to F_c . Final R factors and residual electron densities are given in Table 1.

Discussion. Refined fractional coordinates and isotropic equivalent displacement parameters are listed in Table 2. Figs. 1 and 2 are *ORTEP* drawings

[Zn(DabcoCH ₂ C			
Zn-O1 Zn-N1 O1-C2 C1-C2 C2-C3 N1-C4 N1-C6	2·042 (2) 2·400 (2) 1·264 (3) 1·393 (3) 1·509 (4) 1·455 (4) 1·464 (3)	N2C5 N2C7 N2C8 C4C5 C6C7 C8C12	1-509 (4) 1-489 (3) 1-499 (4) 1-532 (4) 1-540 (3) 1-755 (4)
$\begin{array}{c} 01-Zn-O1'\\ 01-Zn-O1''\\ 01-Zn-N1'\\ 01-Zn-N1'\\ 01-Zn-N1'\\ 11-Zn-N1'\\ 2n-O1-C2\\ 2n-N1-C2\\ 2n-N1-C4\\ 2n-N1-C4\\ 2n-N1-C6\\ C2-C1-C2'\\ 01-C2-C1\\ 01-C2-C3\\ \end{array}$	89·27 (6) 90·73 (6) 180 89·64 (5) 90·36 (5) 180 126·6 (1) 110·2 (2) 111·1 (1) 126·3 (3) 125·6 (2) 115·8 (2)	$\begin{array}{c} C1 - C2 - C3 \\ C4 - N1 - C6 \\ C6 - N1 - C6'' \\ C5 - N2 - C7 \\ C5 - N2 - C8 \\ C7 - N2 - C8 \\ C7 - N2 - C7'' \\ C7 - N2 - C7'' \\ C7 - N2 - C8 \\ N1 - C4 - C5 \\ N2 - C5 - C4 \\ N1 - C6 - C7 \\ N2 - C7 - C6 \\ C12 - C8 - N2 \end{array}$	118.6 (2) 107.9 (2) 108.6 (2) 107.4 (2) 110.8 (2) 111.7 (1) 112.4 (3) 108.8 (2) 112.0 (2) 109.0 (2) 112.3 (2)
	Dabco)]. C_6H_6 (II) 6·8489 (3) 2·030 (2) 2·023 (2) 2·044 (2) 2·011 (2) 2·127 (2) 1·259 (3) 1·258 (3) 1·269 (3) 1·260 (3) 1·361 (5) 1·389 (4) 1·504 (4)	C7-C8 C8-C9 C9-C10 N-C1D N-C2D N-C3D C1D-C4D C2D-C5D C3D-C6D C4D-N' C5D-N' C5D-N' C6D-N' C1S-C2S C2S-C3S C3S-C1S'	1-388 (4) 1-393 (3) 1-499 (4) 1-512 (6) 1-469 (6) 1-471 (6) 1-531 (7) 1-532 (7) 1-532 (7) 1-530 (6) 1-427 (6) 1-427 (6) 1-423 (5) 1-332 (5) 1-355 (6) 1-351 (5)
$\begin{array}{c} 01-Zn-O2\\ 01-Zn-O3\\ 01-Zn-N\\ 02-Zn-N\\ 02-Zn-N\\ 02-Zn-N\\ 03-Zn-N\\ 03-Zn-N\\ 03-Zn-N\\ 03-Zn-N\\ 04-Zn-N\\ Zn-01-C2\\ Zn-02-C4\\ Zn-03-C7\\ Zn-04-C9\\ 01-C2-C3\\ C1-C2-C3\\ C1-C2-C3\\ C2-C3-C4\\ 02-C4-C5\\ C3-C4-C5\\ C3-C7-C6\\ 03-C7-C6\\ 03-C7-C8\\ C6-C7-C8\\ C6-C7-C8\\ C6-C7-C8\\ C6-C7-C8\\ C7-C8\\ C7-C8\\ C7-C8\\ C9\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 88.55 \ (7) \\ 86.65 \ (7) \\ 161.09 \ (6) \\ 100.18 \ (7) \\ 157.45 \ (6) \\ 87.66 \ (7) \\ 97.97 \ (7) \\ 89.79 \ (7) \\ 104.57 \ (6) \\ 98.69 \ (6) \\ 127.0 \ (2) \\ 127.8 \ (2) \\ 126.5 \ (2) \\ 127.5 \ (3) \\ 115.8 \ (2) \\ 125.5 \ (3) \\ 118.6 \ (3) \\ 125.9 \ (2) \\ 115.8 \ (2) \\ 115.8 \ (2) \\ 115.7 \ (2) \\ 115.7 \ (2) \\ 125.7 \ (2) \\ 125.7 \ (2) \\ 125.7 \ (2) \\ 125.7 \ (2) \\ 125.6 \ (2) \\ 125.6 \ (2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 04-C9-C8\\ 04-C9-C10\\ C8-C9-C10\\ Zn-N-C1D\\ Zn-N-C2D\\ Zn-N-C3D\\ C1D-N-C3D\\ C1D-N-C3D\\ C1D-N-C3D\\ C2D-N-C3D\\ N-C1D-C4D\\ N-C2D-C5D\\ N-C3D-C6D\\ C1D-C4D-N'\\ C2D-C5D-N'\\ C3D-C6D-N'\\ C4D-N'-C5D\\ C4D-N'-C5D\\ C4D-N'-C5D\\ C4D-N'-C5D\\ C4D-N'-C5D\\ C4D-N'-C5D\\ C4D-N'-C6D\\ C5D-N'-Zn'\\ C5D-N'-Zn'\\ C2S-C1S-C3S'\\ C1S-C2S-C3S\\ C2S-C3S-C1S'\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 125 \ 0 \ (2) \\ 116 \ 7 \ (2) \\ 118 \ 3 \ (2) \\ 112 \ 2 \ (2) \\ 114 \ 1 \ (2) \\ 112 \ 7 \ (2) \\ 104 \ 5 \ (3) \\ 105 \ 5 \ (3) \\ 105 \ 5 \ (3) \\ 107 \ 2 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 112 \ 5 \ (4) \\ 110 \ 5 \ (5) \\ 108 \ 7 \ (4) \\ 109 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 108 \ 6 \ (4) \\ 109 \ 6 \ (2) \\ 111 \ 4 \ (4) \\ 109 \ 6 \ (2) \\ 1114 \ (4) \\ 109 \ 6 \ (2) \\ 110 \ 2 \ (2) \\ 119 \ 2 \ (3) \\ 119 \ 9 \ (3) \\ \end{array}$

(Johnson, 1976), showing the atom numbering; packing diagrams appear in Figs. 3 and 4. Bond distances and angles are presented in Table 3.*

The structure of (I) consists of *trans*-octahedral $[Zn(DabcoCH_2Cl)_2(acac)_2]^{2+}$ ions at 2/m sites and

^{*} Tables of H-atom coordinates and thermal parameters, distances and angles involving H atoms, anisotropic displacement parameters and structure-factor amplitudes have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 53060 (48 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

chloride ions on inversion centers. The central CH groups of the nearly planar [maximum deviation 0.071 (1) Å] acac moieties lie on the twofold axis: the atoms N1, N2, C4, C5, C8 and Cl2 lie in the mirror plane. Chlorine atom Cl2 exhibits a displacement ellipsoid elongated across the mirror (r.m.s. amplitude of vibration 0.456 Å); the C8-Cl2 distance, 1.755 (4) Å, is also slightly shorter than expected. These features may represent unresolved disorder; for example, models with Cl2 displaced slightly out of the mirror plane, or with the mirror plane completely removed (as in space group C2), may be more complete. However, because these models were expected to cause difficulties in refinement, they were not pursued. The chloride ion makes its closest approach to the chloromethyl group in the DabcoCH₂Cl⁺ ligand [Cl1...C8 3.440 (2), Cl1...H8 2.58(2) Å]. The closest intermolecular contact is between the coordinated O atom and the DabcoCH₂Cl⁺ moiety in an adjacent molecule [O1…C4 3·244 (3), O1…H4 2·69 (3) Å]. The cationic DabcoCH₂Cl⁺ ligands in this structure have apparently been formed by nucleophilic attack of Dabco on the CH₂Cl₂ solvent. We have recently shown that other amines, such as 2-(aminomethyl)pyridine, can attack CH₂Cl₂ in a similar fashion (Maverick, Ivie & Fronczek, 1990).

In (II), the binuclear [$\{Zn(acac)_2\}_2(\mu-Dabco)$] unit [Zn…Zn' 6.8489 (3) Å] and the benzene solvent molecule possess inversion symmetry. The environment about the Zn atoms is square pyramidal, with the Zn atom displaced 0.363 (1) Å out of the least-squares plane of the four O atoms. The two unique acac⁻ moieties (O1, O2, C1-C5; O3, O4, C6-C10) are nearly planar, with maximum deviations 0.044 (3) and 0.024 (3) Å respectively, and the two leastsquares planes make an angle of $30.1(2)^\circ$. Although the Zn(acac)₂ moiety possesses approximate local mm2 ($C_{2\nu}$) symmetry, the μ -Dabco moiety is rotated about its N...N axis so as not to conform to either of these local mirrors [the O4-Zn-N-C3D torsion angle, for example, is $-27.5(3)^{\circ}$]. Because Dabco lacks a center of symmetry, the μ -Dabco moiety in this structure must be disordered, with its C and H atoms required to have $\frac{1}{2}$ occupancy. (We attempted to refine an ordered model for this structure in space group P1; however, this led to convergence difficulties, correlation coefficients greater than 0.8 and variations approaching 0.2 Å in chemically equivalent bond lengths.) The benzene molecule (atoms C1S-C3S and H1S-H3S) is near the μ -Dabco moiety (C2S···H52D 3.03 Å). The closest contacts between adjacent [$\{Zn(acac)_2\}_2(\mu$ -Dabco)] molecules are O2…C10 $[\bar{x}, 1 - y, 1 - z; 3.497 (3) \text{ Å}]$ and O4…C7 $[\bar{x}, 1 - \gamma, \bar{z}; 3.477 (2) \text{ Å}].$





Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1976) of $[Zn(DabcoCH_2Cl)_2-(acac)_2]^{2+}$, the cation in (I), representing H atoms by circles of arbitrary radius and other atoms by 40% probability ellipsoids. The symbols ', ", and * indicate the symmetry operations 2, m, and $\overline{1}$ respectively.

Fig. 2. ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1976) of $[{Zn(acac)}_2(\mu-Dabco)]$, the binuclear complex of (II), representing H atoms by circles of arbitrary radius and other atoms by 40% probability ellipsoids. The primes represent atoms related by the crystallographic inversion center. Only one of the two inversion-related Dabco orientations is shown.

We have compared our complexes with related species in the literature in the following respects: distances from Zn to the apical N and β -diketonato O atoms, and the dihedral angle between the two β -diketonato planes (for five-coordinate structures). The largest difference in bond lengths between (I) and (II) is in the Zn-N bond, which is nearly 0.3 Å longer in the six-coordinate complex (I). It is also substantially longer than the corresponding Zn-Lbonds in two other trans- $L_2(\beta$ -diketonato)₂Zn trans-bis[1,3-di(2-hydroxyphenyl)-1,3structures: propanedionato)bis(ethanol)zinc [2.174 (6) and 2.180 (6) Å; Solans, Font-Altaba, Brianso, Llobet, Teixidor & Casabo (1983)] and trans-diaguabis(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)zinc [2.099 (7) and 2.157 (5) Å; Adams, Allen, Rychlewska & Hodgson (1986)]. The Zn-N bond in (I) may be unusually long because the cationic DabcoCH₂Cl⁺ ligand is a poorer electron donor than unsubstituted Dabco.



Fig. 3. *ORTEP* stereodrawing (Johnson, 1976), viewed approximately parallel to **b**, of the unit cell of $[Zn(DabcoCH_2Cl)-(acac)_2]Cl_2$ (I). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.



Fig. 4. ORTEP stereodrawing (Johnson, 1976), viewed approximately parallel to **b**, of two unit cells of $[{Zn(acac)_2}_2(\mu-Dabco)].C_6H_6$ (II). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Only one of the two Dabco orientations is shown.

The structure of (II) can also be compared with those of other five-coordinate Zn complexes. The apical Zn-N bond in (II) [2.127 (2) Å] is considerably longer than the apical Zn-O bonds in $[Zn(acac)_2]$.H₂O [2·00 (2) Å; Montgomery & Lingafelter (1963)] and bis(benzovlacetonato)- $\{[Zn(bzac)_2], C_2H_5OH,$ 2.068 (7) Å: (ethanol)zinc Belford, Chasteen, Hitchman, Hon, Pfluger & Paul (1969)

Auf der Heyde & Nassimbeni (1984) described a classification method for five-coordinate structures. to give a quantitative measure of the placement of these structures between the 'square-pyramidal' and 'trigonal-bipyramidal' extremes. This approach is based on the dihedral angles spanned by the five ligands around Zn. In [$\{Zn(acac)_2\}_2(\mu$ -Dabco)], the sum of the absolute values of the deviations from ideal square-planar dihedral angles is 15.5° ; whereas the corresponding value for deviation from ideal trigonal-bipyramidal geometry is 206.8°. The sum of these values, $222 \cdot 3^{\circ}$, is close to that expected if the complex lies on the Berry pseudorotation coordinate (Berry, 1960). According to this procedure, the geometry of $[{Zn(acac)_2}_2(\mu-Dabco)]$ is 93% square pyramidal. This may be compared with [Zn(acac)₂].H₂O, 82% square pyramidal (Montgomery & Lingafelter, 1963) and [Zn(bzac)₂]. C₂H₅OH, 18% square pyramidal (Belford, Chasteen, Hitchman, Hon, Pfluger & Paul, 1969).

The metal coordination environment in the fivecoordinate structures is relatively flexible, as judged by the dihedral angles between the β -diketonato planes: 30·1 (2)° in (II); 39·2° in [Zn(acac)₂].H₂O (Montgomery & Lingafelter, 1963) and 14·0° in [Zn(bzac)₂].C₂H₅OH (Belford, Chasteen, Hitchman, Hon, Pfluger & Paul, 1969). In a copper(II) complex closely related to (II), [{Cu(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)₂}₂(μ -pyrazine)] (Belford, Fenton & Truter, 1974), the corresponding angle is 26·1°.

Experiments directed toward cofacial binuclear analogs of (II) are now in progress. If the Zn...Zn distance in $[{Zn_2(NBA)_2}(\mu-Dabco)]$ is similar to that in (II), this will represent a substantial contraction relative to the distances in $[{Cu_2(NBA)_2}(\mu-G)]$ [G = Dabco, 7.403 (4) Å (Maverick, Buckingham, & Stanley, Yao, Bradbury 1986); G = 2,5dimethylpyrazine. 7.596(2)and 7·559 (1) Å (Maverick, Ivie, Waggenspack & Fronczek, 1990)]. The shorter metal-metal distance in the Zn complex could easily be accommodated by bending the acac moieties in the bridging ligands closer together, which would make the environment about Zn more pyramidal. An arene-CH₂-acac bond angle of 115.7° would be small enough to bring the Zn atoms to within 6.85 Å of each other. This is well within the range of arene-CH₂-acac bond angles we have observed in cofacial binuclear complexes [113.9 (4)-

118.4 (4)°; Bradbury, Hampton, Martone & Maverick, 1989; Maverick, Ivie, Waggenspack & Fronczek, 1990] and the range of arene– CH_2 –arene angles in ten diarylmethane structures (112.5–119.2°; Barnes, Paton, Damewood & Mislow, 1981).

Acknowledgement is made to the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research.

References

- ADAMS, R. P., ALLEN, H. C. JR, RYCHLEWSKA, U. & HODGSON, D. J. (1986). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 119, 67–74.
- AUF DER HEYDE, T. P. & NASSIMBENI, L. R. (1984). Acta Cryst. B40, 582-590.
- BARNES, J. C., PATON, J. D., DAMEWOOD, J. R. JR & MISLOW, K. (1981). J. Org. Chem. 46, 4975–4979.
- BELFORD, R. C. E., FENTON, D. E. & TRUTER, M. R. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 17–24.
- BELFORD, R. L., CHASTEEN, N. D., HITCHMAN, M. L., HON, P.-K., PFLUGER, C. E. & PAUL, I. C. (1969). *Inorg. Chem.* 8, 1312– 1319.

BERRY, R. S. (1960). J. Chem. Phys. 32, 933-938.

BRADBURY, J. R., HAMPTON, J. L., MARTONE, D. P. & MAVERICK, A. W. (1989). Inorg. Chem. 28, 2392–2399.

COLEMAN, J. E. & GETTINS, P. (1983). Adv. Enzymol. 55, 381-452.

- CROMER, D. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.3.1. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- CROMER, D. T. & WABER, J. T. (1974). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. IV, Table 2.2.B. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- FRENZ, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius SDP/VAX Structure Determination Package. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- LIPPERT, E. L. & TRUTER, M. R. (1960). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 4996-5006.
- MAVERICK, A. W., BUCKINGHAM, S. C., YAO, Q., BRADBURY, J. R. & STANLEY, G. G. (1986). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 108, 7430-7431.
- MAVERICK, A. W., IVIE, M. L. & FRONCZEK, F. R. (1990). J. Coord. Chem. In the press.
- MAVERICK, A. W., IVIE, M. L., WAGGENSPACK, J. H. & FRONCZEK, F. R. (1990). Inorg. Chem. 29, 2403–2409.
- MONTGOMERY, H. & LINGAFELTER, E. C. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 748-752.
- SOLANS, X., FONT-ALTABA, M., BRIANSO, J. L., LLOBET, A., TEIXIDOR, F. & CASABO, J. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 1512–1514.

Guillard (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 659-662]. The

amide function takes the tautomeric iminoalcohol

form. The Na⁺ cation, the two water molecules and two O atoms of the anion are subjected to statistical disorder. Each of them may occupy two positions with an occupancy factor of 50%. There is a rela-

tionship between occupied sites. O atoms coordinated to the Na⁺ ion build either a distorted

octahedron or a trigonal bipyramid. Numerous inter-

atomic distances O(water)...O show evidence for

Introduction. L'étude structurale du bis(oxo-5 pro-

line)platinate(II) de sodium dihydrate a été entreprise

dans le cadre de la préparation et de l'étude des

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 2062–2065

Bis(oxo-5 proline)platinate(II) de Sodium Dihydrate*

e

hydrogen bonds.

PAR B. VIOSSAT

Laboratoire de Chimie minérale, UFR de Médecine et de Pharmacie, 34 Rue du Jardin des Plantes, 86034 Poitiers CEDEX, France

ET P. KHODADAD ET N. RODIER

Laboratoire de Chimie minérale, Faculté des Sciences pharmaceutiques et biologiques, 5 Rue J.-B. Clément, 92296 Châtenay-Malabry CEDEX, France

(Reçu le 12 juillet 1989, accepté le 19 janvier 1990)

Abstract. Na⁺.[Pt(C₁₀H₁₁N₂O₆)]⁻.2H₂O, $M_r = 509.3$, monoclinic, $P2_1$, a = 4.1665 (7), b = 10.770 (2), c = 16.139 (2) Å, $\beta = 90.08$ (1)°, V = 724.2 (3) Å³, Z = 2, $D_x = 2.34$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo K α) = 0.7107 Å, $\mu = 9.86$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 484, T = 294 (1) K, R = 0.036 for 1903 independent reflections. The Pt atom displays square-planar coordination. It is linked to two 5-oxoproline molecules. The anion is almost planar and its geometry is similar to that of the same anion in the potassium compound previously described [Viossat, Rodier, Nguyen-Huy Dung &

0108-2701/90/112062-04\$03.00

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

2062

^{*} Ce travail a été réalisé dans le cadre du contrat DR89-05 consenti par la Direction de la Recherche du Centre d'Etudes pharmaceutiques de Châtenay-Malabry (Université de Paris XI).